

Norman H. Bangerter, Governor Dee C. Hansen, Executive Director Dianne R. Nielson, Ph.D., Division Director

355 W. North Temple • 3 Triad Center • Suite 350 • Salt Lake City, UT 84180-1203 • 801-538-5340

INSPECTION REPORT

INSPEC	TION DATE & TIME: July 21, 19	987
9:00	a.m. to 1:00 p.m.	
Permittee and/or Operators Name: Co-Op Mi	ining Co.	
Business Address: P. O. Box 1245 Huntir	ngton, Utah 84528	
Mine Name: Bear Canyon Permit Numb Type of Mining Activity: Underground X	ber: ACT/015/025	
Type of Mining Activity: Underground X	Surface Other	
County: Emery		
Company Official (s): Mel Coonrod		
State Official(s): Wm. J. Malencik, Hard	old Sandbeck	
Partial: X Complete: Date of L	Last Inspection: June 30, 198	87
Weather Conditions: Clear/Cool		
Acreage: Permitted 800 Disturbed 10 Reg	graded 0 Seeded 0 Bonded	10
Enforcement Action: None		
Followup Matters: Maintenance work require	ed as a preventative measure	
COMPLIANCE WITH PERMITS AND PERFORMANCE STANDARDS		
	YES NO N/A COM	MMENTS
	123 10 1074 207	MALIVIO
1. PERMITS	() () () ()
2. SIGNS AND MARKERS		一
3. TOPSOIL	$\frac{}{} \begin{array}{c} () $	
1. PERMITS 2. SIGNS AND MARKERS 3. TOPSOIL 4. HYDROLOGIC BALANCE:		
a. STREAM CHANNEL DIVERSIONS	() () () ()
b. DIVERSIONS		ΧŚ
c. SEDIMENT PONDS AND IMPOUNDMENTS		
d. OTHER SEDIMENT CONTROL MEASURES		X)
e. SURFACE AND GROUNDWATER MONITORIN		
f. EFFLUENT LIMITATIONS		
5. EXPLOSIVES		
6. DISPOSAL OF DEVELOPMENT WASTE AND SPO		
7. COAL PROCESSING WASTE		
8. NONCOAL WASTE	$ \overrightarrow{c}$	
9. PROTECTION OF FISH, WILDLIFE AND		
RELATED ENVIRONMENTAL VALUES	() () () ()
10. SLIDES AND OTHER DAMAGE		$-\dot{\gamma}$
11. CONTEMPORANEOUS RECLAMATION		-
12. BACKFILLING AND GRADING		
13. REVEGETATION		
14. SUBSIDENCE CONTROL	$\overline{(x)}$ $\overline{(x)}$	X)
15. CESSATION OF OPERATIONS		^^
16. ROADS		<u>_</u>
a. CONSTRUCTION	()()()())
b. DRAINAGE CONTROLS		
c. SURFACING	$ \overrightarrow{c}$ \overrightarrow{c} \overrightarrow{c} \overrightarrow{c}	
d. MAINTENANCE		$\overrightarrow{}$
	$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{}{}$
17. OTHER TRANSPORTATION FACILITIES	<u> </u>	
18. SUPPORT FACILITIES		`
UTILITY INSTALLATIONS)

INSPECTION REPORT (continuation sheet)

Page 2 of <u>3</u>

PERMIT NUMBER: ACT/015/025

DATE OF INSPECTION __July 21, 1987

(Comments are Numbered to Correspond with Topics Listed Above)

GENERAL COMMENTS:

The mine site and surrounding area had again experienced a high intensity storm the afternoon and night before the inspection. This was the same storm event that Mark Eubank reported over the Salt Lake City news that had hit the Salt Lake area and was calculated as a 1:500 year storm; near Kearns, Utah, as a 1:2500 year storm. I have no information to guage the intensity of this storm at the mine site, but it was the same general storm wherein a warm front from the south collided with a cold front from the north. No rain guages exist at the mine site.

FOLLOWUP MAINTENANCE WORK:

Followup maintenance work noted on page two of the inspection report of June 30, 1987, was completed. However, the aforementioned storm obfuscates the effectiveness of some of the hydrologic preventative maintenance work itemized in the previous report. Further, some of the same items require additional attention as a result of the instant storm and are mentioned below.

The dirt berm was installed by the oil barrels on the middle pad.

4. HYDROLOGIC BALANCE:

Mr. Coonrod mentioned that Co-Op Mine Co. is considering installing culverts by the lower pad drain ditch. By copy of this report, I am requesting DOGM hydrologist Tom Munson to meet with Mr. Coonrod and the undersigned to review possible drainage problems and solutions associated with the recent Hiawatha Portal and other hydrologic maintenance problems that perhaps have more feasible long term solutions.

4b. DIVERSIONS:

Runoff was still evident at the time of inspection in several areas at the mine site and observations were made as follows:

- A. Water was standing on a small portion of the upper pad by the lamp house.
- B. Runoff had topped the drain ditch and flowed over a small portion of the road surface immediately above the switchback between the middle and upper pad.

INSPECTION REPORT (continuation sheet)

Page <u>3 of 3</u>

PERMIT NUMBER: ACT/015/025

DATE OF INSPECTION July 21, 1987

(Comments are Numbered to Correspond with Topics Listed Above)

- Runoff had deepened the drain ditch by another switchback between the C. middle and lower pad.
- A culvert and drain ditch below the office was being worked on at the D. time of inspection. The culvert was blocked, and the silt fence on the culvert exit side was nearly full.

Since item D is below the sediment pond, hydrologic maintenance was properly started with the most signficant problem. Items a-c all drain into the sediment pond, and followup storm maintenance work was to move progressively on to items a-c. In my opinion, at the time of inspection the mine company was diligently working on necessary followup work as a result of the storm.

4d. OTHER SEDIMENT CONTROL MEASURES:

Some silt fences require additional attention as a result of the aforementioned storm.

14. SUBSIDENCE CONTROL:

Subsidence control, more particularly the installing and surveying of markers, was completed the weekend of July 18 and 19, 1987, by Dan Guy. The matter of subsidence and compliance with the MRP was the subject of NOV 87-26-4-1. The abatement time was extended to 5 p.m., July 31, 1987, and included the submission of required information to DOGM headquarters. A proposed amendment to the MRP has been made relative to the type of markers and making annual measurements instead of biannual.

Copy of this Report:

Mailed to: Mel Coonrod, Co-Op; Donna Griffin, OSM

Given to: Joe Helfrich, DOGM; Tom Munson, via John Whitehead, DOGM

Inspectors Signature and Number: Wm. J. Malencik #26 Date: July 23, 1987